

# Clinical Appropriateness Guidelines

# Pharmacogenomic Testing and Genetic Testing for Thrombotic Disorders

**EFFECTIVE MARCH 8, 2021**

This document has been archived because it has outdated information. It is for historical information only and should not be consulted for clinical use. Current versions of guidelines are available on the AIM Specialty Health website at <http://www.aimspecialtyhealth.com/>



8600 West Bryn Mawr Avenue  
South Tower - Suite 800 Chicago, IL 60631  
[www.aimspecialtyhealth.com](http://www.aimspecialtyhealth.com)

Appropriate.Safe.Affordable  
© 2019 AIM Specialty Health  
2065-0319

## Table of Contents

Scope .....	3
Appropriate Use Criteria .....	3
Pharmacogenomic Testing.....	3
Warfarin Administration.....	3
Thrombophilia Testing.....	4
CPT Codes.....	4
Background .....	7
Pharmacogenomic Testing.....	7
Single Gene Pharmacogenetic Assays .....	7
Multi-Gene Pharmacogenomic Assays .....	8
Warfarin Administration.....	9
Thrombophilia Testing.....	9
Factor V Leiden .....	10
Prothrombin (F2).....	11
Professional Society Guidelines.....	11
Selected References.....	13
Revision History.....	16

ARCHIVED

# Scope

Pharmacogenomic testing broadly describes how one's genome, or multiple genes, can influence drug response while more targeted pharmacogenetic testing describes genotyping a specific gene to predict response to certain medications. This document addresses pharmacogenomic testing for the purpose of informing medication selection, dosage, and risk of adverse side effects. It also addresses genetic testing to predict risk of thrombosis. This guideline does not address tumor testing performed to direct treatment decisions (see Clinical Appropriateness Guideline Molecular Testing of Solid and Hematologic Tumors and Malignancies) or genetic testing to generate polygenic risk scores (see Clinical Appropriateness Guideline for Genetic Testing for Single-Gene and Multifactorial Conditions). All tests listed in these guidelines may not require prior authorization; please refer to the health plan.

## Appropriate Use Criteria

### Pharmacogenomic Testing

Pharmacogenetic testing of common variants associated with drug metabolism is medically necessary when either of the following criteria is met:

- All of the following:
  - The individual is a candidate for a targeted drug therapy associated with a specific genotype
  - The results of the pharmacogenetic test will directly impact clinical decision-making and clinical outcome for the individual
  - Published, peer-reviewed studies have proven that identifying the specific genetic variant improves clinical outcomes
- Identification of the genetic variant is required or recommended in a specific population prior to initiating therapy with the target drug as noted by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved prescribing label

Multi-gene pharmacogenomic genotyping assays in which each included target does not meet the above criteria are not medically necessary.

### Warfarin Administration

Testing of CYP2C9 and/or VKORC1 is medically necessary for individuals being treated with warfarin who have not achieved a stable dose AND either of the following:

- An unusually low maintenance dose of warfarin (<0.5mg)
- An INR >4.0 during standard dosing

## Thrombophilia Testing

Testing for common variants in Factor V Leiden (F5) and prothrombin (F2) is medically necessary for any of the following indications:

- Pregnant woman who has a personal history of a venous thromboembolism (VTE)
- In an individual with an unprovoked VTE (e.g. not associated with fracture, surgery, prolonged immobilization, cancer) when test results will impact long term medication management and at least one of the following:
  - There is concern for homozygous F2 or F5 or compound heterozygous F2/F5
  - The annual risk of recurrent VTE is estimated to be between 5% and 10%
- Individual who has a first-degree relative with F5 or F2 thrombophilia and one of the following:
  - Surgery is planned
  - Patient is pregnant
  - Females considering estrogen contraception or hormone replacement therapy if results would influence decision to use estrogen

The following test, including but not limited to, is not medically necessary:

- MTHFR

## CPT Codes

The following codes are associated with the guidelines in the document. This list is not all inclusive. Medical plans may have additional coverage policies that supersede these guidelines.

Covered when medical necessity criteria are met:

- |       |   |
|-------|---|
| 81225 | CYP2C19 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily C, polypeptide 19) (eg, drug metabolism), gene analysis, common variants (eg, *2, *3, *4, *8, *17)  |
| 81226 | CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (eg, drug metabolism), gene analysis, common variants (eg, *2, *3, *4, *5, *6, *9, *10, *17, *19, *29, *35, *41, *1XN, *2XN, *4XN) |
| 81227 | CYP2C9 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily C, polypeptide 9) (eg, drug metabolism), gene analysis, common variants (eg, *2, *3, *5, *6)   |
| 81231 | CYP3A5 (cytochrome P450 family 3 subfamily A member 5) (eg, drug metabolism), gene analysis, common variants (eg, *2, *3, *4, *5, *6, *7)   |

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

- 81232 DPYD (dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase) (eg, 5-fluorouracil/5-FU and capecitabine drug metabolism), gene analysis, common variant(s) (eg, \*2A, \*4, \*5, \*6)
- 81240 F2 (prothrombin, coagulation factor II) (eg, hereditary hypercoagulability) gene analysis, 20210G>A variant
- 81241 F5 (coagulation factor V) (eg, hereditary hypercoagulability) gene analysis, Leiden variant
- 81306 NUDT15 (nudix hydrolase 15) (eg, drug metabolism) gene analysis, common variant(s) (eg, \*2, \*3, \*4, \*5, \*6)
- 81335 TPMT (thiopurine S-methyltransferase) (eg, drug metabolism), gene analysis, common variants (eg, \*2, \*3)
- 81350 UGT1A1 (UDP glucuronosyltransferase 1 family, polypeptide A1) (eg, drug metabolism, hereditary unconjugated hyperbilirubinemia [Gilbert syndrome]) gene analysis, common variants (eg, \*28, \*36, \*37)
- 81355 VKORC1 (vitamin K epoxide reductase complex, subunit 1) (eg, warfarin metabolism), gene analysis, common variant(s) (eg, -1639G>A, c.173+1000C>T)
- 81381 HLA Class I typing, high resolution (ie, alleles or allele groups); one allele or allele group (eg, B\*57:01P), each
- 81404 UGT1A1 (UDP glucuronosyltransferase 1 family, polypeptide A1) (eg, hereditary unconjugated hyperbilirubinemia [Crigler-Najjar syndrome]) full gene sequence
- 0030U Drug metabolism (warfarin drug response), targeted sequence analysis (ie, CYP2C9, CYP4F2, VKORC1, rs12777823)
- 0034U TPMT (thiopurine S-methyltransferase), NUDT15 (nudix hydroxylase 15) (eg, thiopurine metabolism), gene analysis, common variants (ie, TPMT \*2, \*3A, \*3B, \*3C, \*4, \*5, \*6, \*8, \*12; NUDT15 \*3, \*4, \*5)
- 0070U CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (eg, drug metabolism) gene analysis, common and select rare variants (ie, \*2, \*3, \*4, \*4N, \*5, \*6, \*7, \*8, \*9, \*10, \*11, \*12, \*13, \*14A, \*14B, \*15, \*17, \*29, \*35, \*36, \*41, \*57, \*61, \*63, \*68, \*83, \*xN)
- 0169U NUDT15 (nudix hydrolase 15) and TPMT (thiopurine S-methyltransferase) (eg, drug metabolism) gene analysis, common variants

Codes that do not meet medical necessity criteria:

- 81230 CYP3A4 (cytochrome P450 family 3 subfamily A member 4) (eg, drug metabolism), gene analysis, common variant(s) (eg, \*2, \*22)
- 81291 MTHFR (5,10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase) (eg, hereditary hypercoagulability) gene analysis, common variants (eg, 677T, 1298C)

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

- 81328 SLC01B1 (solute carrier organic anion transporter family, member 1B1) (eg, adverse drug reaction), gene analysis, common variant(s) (eg, \*5)
- 81346 TYMS (thymidylate synthetase) (eg, 5-fluorouracil/5-FU drug metabolism), gene analysis, common variant(s) (eg, tandem repeat variant)
- 0029U Drug metabolism (adverse drug reactions and drug response), targeted sequence analysis (ie, CYP1A2, CYP2C19, CYP2C9, CYP2D6, CYP3A4, CYP3A5, CYP4F2, SLC01B1, VKORC1 and rs12777823)
- 0031U CYP1A2 (cytochrome P450 family 1, subfamily A, member 2) (eg, drug metabolism) gene analysis, common variants (ie, \*1F, \*1K, \*6, \*7)
- 0032U COMT (catechol-O-methyltransferase) (drug metabolism) gene analysis, c.472G>A (rs4680) variant
- 0033U HTR2A (5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2A), HTR2C (5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 2C) (eg, citalopram metabolism) gene analysis, common variants (ie, HTR2A rs7997012 [c.614-2211T>C], HTR2C rs3813929 [c.- 759C>T] and rs1414334 [c.551-3008C>G])
- 0071U CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (eg, drug metabolism) gene analysis, full gene sequence (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) (Use 0071U in conjunction with 0070U)
- 0072U CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (eg, drug metabolism) gene analysis, targeted sequence analysis (ie, CYP2D6-2D7 hybrid gene) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) (Use 0072U in conjunction with 0070U)
- 0073U CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (eg, drug metabolism) gene analysis, targeted sequence analysis (ie, CYP2D7-2D6 hybrid gene) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) (Use 0073U in conjunction with 0070U)
- 0074U CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (eg, drug metabolism) gene analysis, targeted sequence analysis (ie, non-duplicated gene when duplication/multiplication is trans) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) (Use 0074U in conjunction with 0070U)
- 0075U CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (eg, drug metabolism) gene analysis, targeted sequence analysis (ie, 5' gene duplication/multiplication) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) (Use 0075U in conjunction with 0070U)
- 0076U CYP2D6 (cytochrome P450, family 2, subfamily D, polypeptide 6) (eg, drug metabolism) gene analysis, targeted sequence analysis (ie, 3' gene duplication/ multiplication) (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure) (Use 0076U in conjunction with 0070U)

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

- 0078U Pain management (opioid-use disorder) genotyping panel, 16 common variants (ie, ABCB1, COMT, DAT1, DBH, DOR, DRD1, DRD2, DRD4, GABA, GAL, HTR2A, HTTLPR, MTHFR, MUOR, OPRK1, OPRM1), buccal swab or other germline tissue sample, algorithm reported as positive or negative risk of opioid-use disorder
- 0173U Psychiatry (ie, depression, anxiety), genomic analysis panel, includes variant analysis of 14 genes
- 0175U Psychiatry (eg, depression, anxiety), genomic analysis panel, variant analysis of 15 genes

# Background

## Pharmacogenomic Testing

Pharmacogenomic testing is utilized as a tool in the field of precision medicine. Precision medicine can guide optimal health care decisions by identifying individual variability to direct approaches for prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of disease (Collins and Varums 2015). As this approach to clinical practice has grown, so has the availability of pharmacogenomic testing in the clinical realm.

The CYP450 gene superfamily is composed of many isoenzymes that are involved in the metabolism of about 75% of commonly prescribed drugs. Many of the clinically available pharmacogenomic tests include genes related to the CYP450 superfamily. CYP2C19, CYP2D6 and CYP2C9 enzymes metabolize approximately 15%, 20-25%, and 10% of all currently used drugs, respectively, that are most often prescribed as treatments for oncologic, psychiatric, neurologic, or cardiovascular conditions (Drozda et al. 2014). These genes are highly polymorphic, and certain genotypes have been classified by their effect on metabolism (poor, intermediate, normal or ultrarapid) of specific drugs. While the speed of metabolism can affect optimal dosing strategy for a drug, it is also important to note that genetic variability accounts for only a portion of the individual differences in drug response, and there are many other variables in the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of medications (Solomon, Cates and Li 2019; Pasternak et al. 2017).

## Single Gene Pharmacogenetic Assays

There are many challenges in gathering sufficient evidence to support the clinical utility of pharmacogenetic testing, including the relatively low effect size of individual variants, the complex interactions between different genetic variants and the large number of confounding factors in medication response across individuals. In addition, there is a high degree of variability in study design, methods, and measured outcomes in the published literature, making comparisons difficult (Fabbri et al. 2018; Zeier et al. 2018; Jarvis et al. 2019). Other limitations of published studies include conflicts of interest among the researchers and lack of blinding for participants and providers (Zeier et al. 2018; Bousman and Dunlop 2018). While genotype-guided drug choice or dosing has been shown to increase efficacy and limit side effects for certain medications, the clinical utility of most pharmacogenetic testing has not yet been established.



As a result, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) includes pharmacogenetic testing recommendations with the labeling of many drugs, but the overall number that have genetic testing requirements on the FDA label is relatively small. Many drug/gene pairs for which testing is mentioned on the FDA label are based on evidence from laboratory studies, case reports, or observational studies rather than randomized controlled trials or large subgroup analyses (Chin et al. 2017). Additional recommendations or guidelines are often needed to help clinicians assess the clinical utility of pharmacogenetic testing. In many cases, there is limited evidence that pharmacogenetic testing results in better clinical outcomes (Dong et al. 2018; Nurnberger et al. 2018). In addition, there is significant variability in the specific alleles that are evaluated by different clinical tests. This complicates result interpretation, especially in ethnically diverse populations. It is important to interpret results of pharmacogenetic testing with these limitations in mind (Pratt et al. 2018; Pratt et al. 2019).

The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) have established guidelines to assist clinicians in guiding drug therapy and dosage based on existing pharmacogenetic results. CPIC guidelines are developed in a standard format after rigorous review and grading of the literature and extensive peer review. They are meant to provide guidance for the use of existing genetic test results, but do not provide recommendations about whether to order specific genetic tests (Caudle et al. 2014).

## Multi-Gene Pharmacogenomic Assays

While targeted gene testing for variants in some genes has been proposed to predict patient-specific drug metabolism of specific drugs, there still exists a lack of robust evidence to support the clinical utility of panel testing for multiple genes. There are a number of clinically available combined pharmacogenomic panel tests designed to evaluate variants within multiple genes to provide guidance for prescribing and dosing various medications which may ultimately aid in addressing the recognized need to alleviate prolonging or complicating the clinical course of a patient's condition due to side effects or lack of response from a trial-and-error approach to medication choice. These panel tests are even marketed as "decision support tools" (Bousman and Dunlop 2018). While pharmacogenomic tests are a promising candidate to address this need, as evidenced by many retrospective reviews, there is a lack of large and adequately powered randomized controlled studies that address whether the use of pharmacogenomic panels in prescribing medications improves outcomes in various conditions (Drozda et al. 2014; Zeier et al. 2018; Lin and Chun 2019). Another hurdle, as evidenced by the systematic review of available studies by Fabbri et al. (2019), is the lack of best practice guidelines for developing clinical evidence. This deficit ultimately impacts the quality of available studies.

Evidence to support pharmacogenomic-guided antidepressant treatment is generally low strength because randomized controlled trials are few and underpowered, and variability in study designs make direct comparisons difficult (Perterson et al. 2017; Rosenblat and McIntyre 2017; Solomon, Cates and Li 2018). While published results from a recent large patient-blinded randomized controlled trial, the Genomics Used to Improve DEpression Decisions (GUIDED) trial, revealed that patients reached secondary outcomes of improvements in response (26.0% versus 19.9%,  $p=0.036$ ) and remission (15.3% versus 10.1%,  $p=0.007$ ) rates compared to patients with treatment as usual; the study failed to meet the primary outcome of statistically significant symptom improvement (27.2% versus 24.4%,  $p=0.107$ ) in patients with treatment guided by pharmacogenomic testing (Greden et al. 2019). This study adds more data to the literature purporting a promising future for pharmacogenomic-directed treatment, but it also underscores the need for additional evidence to support it beyond paired cytochrome gene testing. In addition, it highlights the conundrum of available market-place genetic



tests' rate of evolution outpacing evidence of clinical utility in the newest iteration, i.e. the current pharmacogenomic panel available on the market is larger than the panel studied in the GUIDED trial.

The majority of professional society guidelines related to pharmacogenomic testing address specific drug-gene interactions rather than multi-gene panels (Beckett et al. 2018). Although individual biomarkers may have clinical utility in certain circumstances, clinicians will often choose larger panels due to the increasing availability in the market (Moyer et al. 2017), without sufficient evidence that panel testing of multiple genes has any benefit over single-gene testing or standard trial-and-error methods (Zeier et al. 2018).

Among clinically available pharmacogenomic panel tests, interpretation of results and final medication recommendations vary substantially and even contradict each other, highlighting the need for standardized guidelines before panel-based pharmacogenomic testing becomes a routine part of clinical practice (Bousman and Dunlop 2018; Bousman et al. 2018). In 2018, the FDA issued a consumer warning against the use of many pharmacogenetic tests, indicating that there is limited scientific and clinical evidence to support the claims of clinical utility that are advertised by these laboratories (FDA 2018). Subsequently, the FDA issued a statement in 2020 introducing a web-based resource that includes a table of certain gene-drug interactions the FDA feels sufficient evidence is available to support the association between genetic variants and drug metabolism. The FDA does note this table is not complete, and also does not provide references to support the pharmacogenetic associations included in the table (FDA 2020).

## Warfarin Administration

While there are numerous challenges to warfarin dosing with its highly variable individual responses and challenges achieving and maintaining levels within a therapeutic range, it has the potential to significantly reduce morbidity and mortality from thromboembolic events (Flockhart et al. 2008). Many current guidelines remain silent on the use of pharmacogenetics to guide warfarin therapy. The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) guideline (2008) does not recommend routine use of pharmacogenetic testing for warfarin. However, they do carve out practical scenarios where testing may be beneficial (Flockhart et al. 2008). The guideline specifically notes CYP2C9 and VKORC1 genotypes can reasonably be used as part of diagnostic efforts to determine the cause of an unusually low maintenance dose of warfarin or an unusually high INR during standard dosing (Flockhart et al. 2008). In doing so, health care providers would be able to spend less time and energy on the issues of drug interactions and diet, both of which can be inordinately time consuming in this setting. Testing should not be performed in patients who have achieved a stable dose of warfarin, only in those having difficulty getting to a stable dose upon initiation of warfarin therapy.

## Thrombophilia Testing

Thrombophilia describes a state of hypercoagulability that leads to an increased risk of thrombotic events. Venous thromboembolism (VTE) is a common, complex disease associated with both environmental and genetic risk factors. Risk factors for VTE include advancing age, travel, surgery, organ transplantation, central venous catheter use, injury, family history of VTE, and certain genetic polymorphisms leading to excessive clotting. In women, pregnancy, hormonal contraceptive use, selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), and hormone replacement therapy (HRT) are additional risk factors for VTE (Montagnana et al. 2017; Pruthi 2017).

It has been suggested that genetic testing for inherited thrombophilias may allow for prophylactic treatment of individuals at risk for VTE or enhance the prediction of recurrence risk for patients who

have already had a VTE. However, the clinical utility of such genetic testing is controversial. An increased risk for VTE has been associated with pathogenic or likely pathogenic (P/LP) variants in several genes including; F5, F2, PROC, PROS1 and SERPINC1 as well as others.

While standard of care for work up of VTE or DVT is to perform protein activity and antigen studies, Factor V and Prothrombin studies are easiest to perform as molecular genotyping given that these conditions are almost always caused by a common variant. There have been conflicting recommendations as to how to approach genetic testing for thrombophilias. ACMG and ACOG have recommended testing for F2 and F5 in certain scenarios, while the Evaluation of Genomic Applications and Prevention Working Group (EGAPP) found insufficient evidence to perform this testing for any indication. The presence of an inherited thrombophilia variant itself does not always require prophylactic treatment with anticoagulants, and other risk factors should be considered when assessing a patient's individual risk of VTE and the need for anticoagulation therapy (ACOG 2018; Carroll and Piazza 2018; Ashraf et al. 2019). The population for which F2/F5 genetic testing results have direct implications for treatment is pregnant women with a previous history of VTE associated with a transient risk factor (e.g., surgery, trauma). These women would typically not be treated with antepartum anticoagulant prophylaxis unless they were found to have a genotype associated with a high risk of VTE recurrence (FVL homozygosity, F2 G20210A homozygosity, or compound heterozygosity for FVL and F2 G20210A). Genetic testing for these patients is indicated. There may also be a benefit to screening pregnant women with a family history of known thrombophilia, as those women found to have a high risk genotype would be offered antenatal prophylactic anticoagulant therapy even in the absence of a personal history of VTE.

Because standard of care for evaluation of thrombophilias includes protein assays for common anticoagulants and single-site P/LP variant studies, large NGS panels are not considered medically necessary. Genetic panel testing for thrombophilia also frequently includes additional genes with limited evidence of association and unclear management implications, such as PAI-1 and MTHFR (Carroll and Piazza 2018; Franchini et al. 2016).

## Factor V Leiden

The Factor V Leiden (FVL) variant (1691G>A; R506Q) in the F5 gene is the most common known inherited risk factor for thrombosis. This P/LP variant leads to reduced inactivation of clotting factor V by activated protein C (ie. APC resistance), which causes increased thrombin generation. Heterozygous carriers of the FVL variant have an approximately 3-fold to 8-fold increased risk of VTE compared to non-carriers (Kujovich 2018). However, the absolute risk of VTE in heterozygotes remains low, with only ~5% of carriers developing a VTE by age 65 (Rodeghiero and Tosetto 1999; Heit et al. 2005). Homozygous carriers of the FVL variant have a much higher increased risk of VTE, approximately 9-fold to 80-fold (Rosendaal 2009, EGAPP 2011; Carroll and Piazza 2018). This increased risk corresponds to an absolute incidence of 15 VTE events/1,000 persons/year (Juul et al. 2004).

The prevalence of FVL P/LP variants varies according to population. Approximately 3-8% of the general US and European population carry a heterozygous FVL P/LP variant, while it is rarely identified in individuals from Asian and African populations (Kujovich 2018). Homozygosity of the FVL P/LP variants is seen in approximately 1/5,000 individuals in the general US and European population (Kujovich 2018).

## Prothrombin (F2)

The second most common inherited risk factor for VTE is the 20210G>A (G20210A) variant in the F2 gene. This activating P/LP variant leads to higher circulating levels of prothrombin, which results in an increased risk for clot formation. Heterozygous carriers of the F2 variant have a 2-fold to 4-fold increased risk of VTE compared to non-carriers (Rosendaal and Reitsma 2009; Kujovich 2014). However, the absolute risk of a VTE in heterozygotes again remains quite low: 0.19%/year to 0.41%/year in asymptomatic carriers (Lijfering et al. 2009; Kujovich 2014).

The prevalence of F2 heterozygosity varies by population. Approximately 2-3% of the general US and European population are carriers of the F2 variant, while individuals from African and Asian populations have a much lower prevalence (Kujovich 2014). F2 homozygotes are very rare, approximately 1/10,000 in the general US and European population, and the increased risk associated with this genotype is not well-defined, but may be up to 7 times higher than that of the general population (Kujovich 2014; Carroll and Piazza 2018). Patients with compound heterozygosity for Factor V Leiden and prothrombin mutations may have up to a 20-fold increased risk for VTE. Neither of these mutations exhibit a strongly increased risk for VTE recurrence (Carroll and Piazza 2018).

# Professional Society Guidelines

## Pharmacogenomic Testing

### American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)

ACMG Policy Statement. Pharmacogenetic Testing of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 Alleles for Warfarin.

*Flockhart DA, O'Kane D, Williams MS, Watson MS, et al; ACMG Working Group. Genet Med. 2008 Feb;10(2):139-50.*

*PubMed PMID: 18281922.*

### Association for Molecular Pathology (AMP)

AMP Recommendations for Clinical CYP2C19 Genotyping Allele Selection.

*Pratt VM, Del Tredici AL, Hachad H, et al. J Mol Diagn 2018. May;20(3):269-276. Epub 2018 Feb 21. PubMed PMID: 29474986.*

### Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC)

CPIC Guideline. CYP2C19 Genotype and Clopidogrel Therapy.

*Scott SA, Sangkuhl K, Stein CM, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2013 Sep;94(3):317-23. PubMed PMID: 23698643.*

CPIC Guideline. CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Tricyclic Antidepressants

*Hicks JK, Sangkuhl K, Swen JJ, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2017 Jul 102(1):37-44. PubMed PMID: 27997040.*

CPIC Guideline. CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 Genotypes and Dosing of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors.

*Hicks JK, Bishop JR, Sangkuhl K, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2015 Aug;98(2):127-34. PubMed PMID: 25974703.*

CPIC Guidelines. CYP2C9 and HLA-B Genotype and Phenytoin Dosing. 2020 Update.

*Karnes JH, Rettie AE, Somogyi AA, et al. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2020 Aug 11. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 32779747.*

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

#### CPIC Guideline. CYP2D6 and Tamoxifen Therapy.

Goetz MP, Sangkuhl K, Guchelaar HJ, et al. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2018 May;103(5):770-777. PubMed PMID: 29385237.

#### CPIC Guideline. CYP2D6 Genotype and Use of Ondansetron and Tropisetron.

Bell GC, Caudle KE, Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2017 Aug;102(2):213-18. PubMed PMID: 28002639.

#### CPIC Guideline. Cytochrome P450 2D6 (CYP2D6) Genotype and Codeine Therapy.

Crews KR, Gaedigk A, Dunnenberger HM, et al. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2014 Apr; 95(4):376-82. PubMed PMID: 24458010.

#### CPIC Guideline. Dihydropyrimidine Dehydrogenase Genotype and Fluoropyrimidine Dosing.

Amstutz U, Henricks LM, Offer SM, et al. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2018 Feb;103(2):210-216. PubMed PMID: 29152729.

#### CPIC Guideline. HLA Genotype and Use of Carbamazepine and Oxcarbazepine.

Phillips EJ, Sukasem C, Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2018 Apr;103(4):574-581. PubMed PMID: 29392710.

#### CPIC Guideline. Pharmacogenetics-Guided Warfarin Dosing.

Johnson JA, Caudle KE, Gong L, et al. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2017 Sep;102(3):397-404. PubMed PMID: 28198005.

#### CPIC Guideline. Guideline for Thiopurine Dosing Based on TPMT and NUDT15 Genotypes.

Relling MV, Schwab M, Whirl-Carrillo M, et al. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2019 May;105(5):1095-1105. PubMed PMID: 30447069.

#### Joint Statements

AMP and College of American Pathologists (CAP). Recommendations for Clinical CYP2C9 Genotyping Allele Selection.

Pratt VM, Cavallari LH, Del Tredici AL, et al. *J Mol Diagn.* 2019 May 7. PubMed PMID: 31075510.

#### Thrombophilia Testing

##### American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)

ACMG Technical Standards. Venous Thromboembolism Laboratory Testing (Factor V Leiden and Factor II c.\*97G>A)

*Genet Med.* 2018 Dec;20(12):1489-1498. PubMed PMID: 30297698.

##### American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)

ACOG Practice Bulletin No. 197. Inherited Thrombophilias in Pregnancy.

*Obstet Gynecol.* 2018 Jul;132(1): e18-e34. PubMed PMID: 29939939.

##### American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP)

ACCP Guideline. VTE, Thrombophilia, Antithrombotic Therapy, and Pregnancy: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis.

Bates SM, Greer IA, Middeldorp S, et al. *Chest.* 2012 Feb;141(2 Suppl):e691S-e736S. PubMed PMID: 22315276.

ACCP Guideline and Expert Panel Report. Antithrombotic Therapy for VTE Disease.

Kearon C, Akl EA, Ornelas J, et al. *Chest.* 2016;149(2):315-52. PubMed PMID: 26867832.

##### American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM)

ASRM Guideline. Combined Hormonal Contraception and the Risk of Venous Thromboembolism.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

*Fertil Steril.* 2017 Jan;107(1):43-51. PubMed PMID: 27793376.

## Veterans Health Administration (VHA)

VHA Policy Recommendation. Pharmacogenetic Testing in the Veterans Health Administration.

Vassy JL, Stone A, Callaghan JT, et al.; VHA Clinical Pharmacogenetics Subcommittee. *Genet Med.* 2019 Feb;21(2):382-390. PubMed PMID: 29858578.

# Selected References

## Pharmacogenetics

- 1 Ageno W, Gallus AS, Wittkowsky A, Crowther M, Hylek EM, Palareti G. Oral anticoagulant therapy: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest.* 2012 Feb;141(2 Suppl): e44S-e88S. PubMed PMID: 22315269.
- 2 Aka I, Bernal CJ, Carroll R, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics of Cytochrome P450-Associated Drugs in Children. *J Pers Med.* 2017 Nov 2;7(4). PubMed PMID: 29099060.
- 3 Bean LJH, Funke B, Carlston CM, Gannon JL, Kantarci S, Krock BL, Zhang S, Bayrak-Toydemir P, on behalf of the ACMG Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee. Diagnostic gene sequencing panels: from design to report- a technical standard of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG). *Genet Med.* 2019 Nov 16. PubMed PMID: 31732716.
- 4 Beckett RD, Kisor DF, Smith T, Vonada B. Systematic evaluation of clinical practice guidelines for pharmacogenomics. *Pharmacogenomics.* 2018 Jun 1;19(8):693-700. Epub 2018 May 23. PubMed PMID: 29790417.
- 5 Berinstein E and Levy A. Recent developments and future directions for the use of pharmacogenomics in cardiovascular disease treatments. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* 2017 Sep;13(9):973-983. Epub 2017 Aug 20. PubMed PMID: 28792790.
- 6 Bousman CA, Dunlop BW. Genotype, phenotype, and medication recommendation agreement among commercial pharmacogenetic-based decision support tools. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2018 Sep;18(5):613-622. Epub 2018 May 25. PubMed PMID: 29795409.
- 7 Bousman C, Maruf AA, Müller DJ. Towards the integration of pharmacogenetics in psychiatry: a minimum, evidence-based genetic testing panel. *Curr Opin Psychiatry.* 2019 Jan;32(1):7-15. PubMed PMID: 30299306.
- 8 Bousman CA, Reynolds CF, Ng C, et al. Antidepressant prescribing in the precision medicine era: a prescriber's primer on pharmacogenetic tools. *BMC psychiatry.* 2017 Dec;17(1):60. PubMed PMID: 28178974.
- 9 Brandl EJ, Tiwari AK, Zhou X, et al. Influence of CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene variants on antidepressant response in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Pharmacogenomics J.* 2014 Apr;14(2):176-81. Epub 2013 Apr 2. PubMed PMID: 23545896.
- 10 Campbell JM, Bateman E, Peters MDJ, et al. Fluoropyrimidine and platinum toxicity pharmacogenetics: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Pharmacogenomics.* 2016 Mar;17(4):435-51. Epub 2016 Feb 19. PubMed PMID: 26894782.
- 11 Caudle KE, Klein TE, Hoffman JM, et al. Incorporation of pharmacogenomics into routine clinical practice: The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guideline development process. *Curr Drug Metab.* 2014 Feb;15(2):209-17. PubMed PMID: 24479687.
- 12 Chin L, Devine B, Baradaran S, et al. Characterizing the strength of evidence in FDA labels for pharmacogenomic biomarker-guided medication use. *AMIA Jt Summits Transl Sci Proc.* 2017 Jul 26; 2017:30-39. PubMed PMID: 28815101.
- 13 Collins FS, Varmus H. A new initiative on precision medicine. *N Engl J Med.* 2015 Feb 26;372(9): 793-795. PubMed PMID: 25635347.
- 14 Dean L. Warfarin Therapy and VKORC1 and CYP Genotype. 2012 Mar 8 [Updated 2018 Jun 11]. In: Pratt V, McLeod H, Rubinstein W, et al., editors. *Medical Genetics Summaries* [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Center for Biotechnology Information (US); 2012-. Available from: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/proxy.lib.mcw.edu/books/NBK84174/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/proxy/lib.mcw.edu/books/NBK84174/)
- 15 Dong AN, Tan BH, Pan Y, Ong CE. Cytochrome P450 genotype-guided drug therapies: An update on current states. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol.* 2018 Oct;45(10):991-1001. Epub 2018 Jul 2. PubMed PMID: 29858511.
- 16 Dong OM, Li A, Suzuki O, et al. Projected impact of a multigene pharmacogenetic test to optimize medication prescribing in cardiovascular patients. *Pharmacogenomics.* 2018 Jun 1;19(9):771-782. PubMed PMID: 29793377.
- 17 Drozda K, Müller DJ, Bishop JR. Pharmacogenomic testing for neuropsychiatric drugs: current status of drug labeling, guidelines for using genetic information, and test options. *Pharmacotherapy.* 2014 Feb;34(2):166-84. PubMed PMID: 24523097.
- 18 Duhl AJ, Paidas MJ, Ural SH, et al. Antithrombotic therapy and pregnancy: consensus report and recommendations for prevention and treatment of venous thromboembolism and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2007;197:457. PubMed PMID: 17980177.
- 19 Evaluation of Genomic Applications in Practice and Prevention (EGAPP) Working Group. Recommendations from the EGAPP Working Group: testing for cytochrome P450 polymorphisms in adults with nonpsychotic depression treated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. *Genet Med.* 2007 Dec;9(12):819-25. PubMed PMID: 18091431.
- 20 Fabbri C, Zohar J, Serretti A. Pharmacogenetic tests to guide drug treatment in depression: Comparison of the available testing kits and clinical trials. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry.* 2018 Aug 30; 86:36-44. PMID: 29777729.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.



- 21 Faruque F, Noh H, Hussain A, et al. Economic value of pharmacogenetic testing for cancer drugs with clinically relevant drug-gene associations: A systematic literature review. *J Manag Care Spec Pharm*. 2019 Feb;25(2):260-271. PubMed PMID: 30698084.
- 22 Gaddh M, Cheng E, Elsebaie MAT, Bodó I. Clinical Utilization and Cost of Thrombophilia Testing in Patients with Venous Thromboembolism. *TH Open*. 2020 Aug 9;4(3):e153-e162. PubMed PMID: 32803121.
- 23 Gaedigk A, Dinh JC, Jeong H, et al. Ten years' experience with the CYP2D6 activity score: A perspective on future investigations to improve clinical predictions for precision therapeutics. *J Pers Med*. 2018 Apr 17;8(2). PubMed PMID: 29673183.
- 24 Gage BF, Bass AR, Lin H, et al. Effect of Genotype-Guided Warfarin Dosing on Clinical Events and Anticoagulation Control Among Patients Undergoing Hip or Knee Arthroplasty: The GIFT Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2017 Sep 26;318(12):1115-1124. PubMed PMID: 28973620.
- 25 Gong L, Thorn CF, Bertagnolli MM, et al. Celecoxib pathways: pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. *Pharmacogenet Genomics*. 2012 Apr;22(4):310-8. PubMed PMID: 22336956.
- 26 Greden JF, Parikh SV, Rothschild AJ, Thase ME, Dunlop BW, De Battista C, Conway CR, Forester BP, Mondimore FM, Shelton RC, Macaluso M, Li J, Brown K, Gilbert A, Burns L, Jablonski MR, Dechairo B. Impact of pharmacogenomics on clinical outcomes in major depressive disorder in the GUIDED trial: A large, patient-and rater-blinded, randomized, controlled study. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2019 Apr; 111:59-67. PMID: 30677646.
- 27 Holbrook A, Schulman S, Witt DM, Vandvik PO, Fish J, Kovacs MJ, Svensson PJ, Veenstra DL, Crowther M, Guyatt GH. Evidence-based management of anticoagulant therapy: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest*. 2012 Feb;141(2 Suppl): e152S-e184S. PubMed PMID: 22315259.
- 28 Jarvis JP, Peter AP, Shaman JA. Consequences of CYP2D6 copy-number variation for pharmacogenomics in psychiatry. *Front Psychiatry*. 2019 Jun 20; 10:432. PubMed PMID 31281270.
- 29 Ji Y, Skierka JM, Blommel JH, et al. Preemptive pharmacogenomic testing for precision medicine: a comprehensive analysis of five actionable pharmacogenomic genes using next-generation DNA sequencing and a customized CYP2D6 genotyping cascade. *J Mol Diagn*. 2016 May;18(3):438-45. Epub 2016 Mar 3. PubMed PMID: 26947514.
- 30 Jürgens G, Rasmussen HB, Werge T, et al. Does the medication pattern reflect the CYP2D6 genotype in patients with diagnoses within the schizophrenic spectrum? *J Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2012 Feb;32(1):100-5. PubMed PMID: 22198443.
- 31 Koutsilieris S, Caudle KE, Alzghari SK, Monte AA, Relling MV, Patrinos GP. Optimizing thiopurine dosing based on TPMT and NUDT15 genotypes: It takes two to tango. *Am J Hematol*. 2019 Apr 3. PubMed PMID: 30945335.
- 32 Kujovich JL. Factor V Leiden Thrombophilia. 1999 May 14 [Updated 2018 Jan 4]. In: Adam MP, Ardinger HH, Pagon RA, et al., editors. *GeneReviews*® [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993-2018. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1368/>
- 33 Kujovich JL. Prothrombin-Related Thrombophilia. 2006 Jul 25 [Updated 2014 Aug 14]. In: Adam MP, Ardinger HH, Pagon RA, et al., editors. *GeneReviews*® [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993-2018. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1148/>
- 34 Landau R, Smiley R. Pharmacogenetics in obstetric anesthesia. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol*. 2017 Mar;31(1):23-34. Epub 2017 Feb 6. PubMed PMID: 28625302.
- 35 Li X, Li D, Wu JC, Liu ZQ, Zhou HH, Yin JY. Precision dosing of warfarin: open questions and strategies. *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2019 Jun;19(3):219-229. PMID: 30745565.
- 36 Lieberman JA, Stroup TS, McEvoy JP, et al. Effectiveness of antipsychotic drugs in patients with chronic schizophrenia. *N Engl J Med*. 2005 Sep 22;353(12):1209-23. Epub 2005 Sep 19. PubMed PMID: 16172203.
- 37 Lin B, Chung WK. Cases in precision medicine: The role of pharmacogenetics in precision prescribing. *Ann Intern Med*. 2019 May 21. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 31108507.
- 38 Matchar DB, Thakur ME, Grossman I, et al. Testing for cytochrome P450 polymorphisms in adults with non-psychotic depression treated with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs). *Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep)*. 2007 Jan;(146):1-77. PubMed PMID: 17764209.
- 39 McClain MR, Palomaki GE, Piper M, Haddow JE. A rapid-ACCE review of CYP2C9 and VKORC1 alleles testing to inform warfarin dosing in adults at elevated risk for thrombotic events to avoid serious bleeding. *Genet Med*. 2008 Feb;10(2):89-98. PubMed PMID: 18281915.
- 40 Moyer AM, Rohrer Vitek CR, Giri J, et al. Challenges in ordering and interpreting pharmacogenomic tests in clinical practice. *Am J Med*. 2017 Dec; 130(12): 1342-1344. PubMed PMID: 28757317.
- 41 Murphy GM Jr, Hollander SB, Rodrigues HE. Effects of the serotonin transporter gene promoter polymorphism on mirtazapine and paroxetine efficacy and adverse events in geriatric major depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2004 Nov;61(11):1163-9. PubMed PMID: 15520364.
- 42 Murphy GM Jr, Kremer C, Rodrigues HE, et al. Pharmacogenetics of antidepressants medication intolerance. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003 Oct;160(10):1830-35. PubMed PMID: 14514498.
- 43 Niitsu T, Fabbri C, Bentini F, et al. Pharmacogenetics in major depression: a comprehensive meta-analysis. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry*. 2013 Aug 1; 45:183-94. Epub 2013 Jun 1. PubMed PMID: 23733030.
- 44 Nurnberger JI Jr, Austin J, Berrettini WH, et al. What should a psychiatrist know about genetics? Review and recommendations from the residency education committee of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2018 Nov 27;80(1). PubMed PMID: 30549495.
- 45 Offer SM, Fossum CC, Wegner NJ, et al. Comparative functional analysis of DPYD variants of potential clinical relevance to dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity. *Cancer Res*. 2014 May 1;74(9):2545-54. Epub 2014 Mar 19. PubMed PMID: 2468345.
- 46 Pasternak AL, Ward KM, Luzum JA, et al. Germline genetic variants with implications for disease risk and therapeutic outcomes. *Physiol Genomics*. 2017 Oct 1;49(10):567-581. PubMed PMID: 28887371.
- 47 Perez V, Salavert A, Espadaler J, et al. Efficacy of prospective pharmacogenetic testing in the treatment of major depressive disorder: results of a randomized, double-blind clinical trial. *BMC psychiatry*. 2017; 17(1), 250. PubMed PMID: 28705252.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

- 48 Peterson K, Dieperink E, Anderson J, et al. Rapid evidence review of the comparative effectiveness, harms, and cost-effectiveness of pharmacogenomics-guided antidepressant treatment versus usual care for major depressive disorder. *Psychopharmacology*. 2017 Jun;234(11):1649-1661. Epub 2017 Apr 29. PubMed PMID: 28456840.
- 49 Poland RE, Lesser IM, Wan YJ, et al. Response to citalopram is not associated with SLC6A4 genotype in African-Americans and Caucasians with major depression. *Life Sci*. 2013 May 30;92(20-21):967-70. Epub 2013 Apr 3. PubMed PMID: 23562852.
- 50 Pratt VM, Cavallari LH, Del Tredici AL, Hachad H, Ji Y, Moyer AM, Scott SA, Whirl-Carrillo M, Weck KE. Recommendations for Clinical CYP2C9 Genotyping Allele Selection: A Joint Recommendation of the Association for Molecular Pathology and College of American Pathologists. *J Mol Diagn*. 2019 Sep;21(5):746-755. PubMed PMID: 31075510.
- 51 Reynolds GP, Zhang ZJ, Zhang XB. Polymorphism of the promoter region of the serotonin 5-HT2C receptor gene and clozapine-induced weight gain. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003 Apr;160(4):677-9. PubMed PMID: 12668355.
- 52 Rosenblat JD, Lee Y, McIntyre RS. Does Pharmacogenomic Testing Improve Clinical Outcomes for Major Depressive Disorder? A Systematic Review of Clinical Trials and Cost-Effectiveness Studies. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2017 Jun;78(6):720-729. PubMed PMID: 28068459.
- 53 Samer CF, Lorenzini KI, Rollason V, et al. Applications of CYP450 testing in the clinical setting. *Mol Diagn Ther*. 2013 Jun; 17(3):165-184. PubMed PMID: 23588782.
- 54 Samwald M, Xu H, Blagoc K, et al. Incidence of exposure of patients in the United States to multiple drugs for which pharmacogenomic guidelines are available. *PLoS One*. 2016 Oct 20;11(10): e0164972. PubMed PMID: 27764192.
- 55 Serretti A, Calati R, Massat I, et al. Cytochrome P450 CYP1A2, CYP2C9, CYP2C19 and CYP2D6 genes are not associated with response and remission in a sample of depressive patients. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol*. 2009;24(5):250-6. PubMed PMID: 19593158.
- 56 Shah RR. Genotype-guided warfarin therapy: Still of only questionable value two decades on. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2020 Mar 13. PubMed PMID 32168383.
- 57 Sluiter RL, van Marrewijk C, de Jong D, Scheffer H, Guchelaar HJ, Derijks L, Wong DR, Hooymans P, Vermeulen SH, Verbeek ALM, Franke B, van der Wilt GJ, Kievit W, Coenen MJH. Genotype-guided thiopurine dosing does not lead to additional costs in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2019 Jul 25; 13(7):838-845. PubMed PMID: 30698675.
- 58 Smits KM, Smits LJ, Schouten JS, et al. Influence of SERTPR and STin2 in the serotonin transporter gene on the effect of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in depression: a systematic review. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2004 May;9(5):433-41. PubMed PMID: 15037864.
- 59 Solomon HV, Cates KW, Li KJ. Does obtaining CP2D6 and CYP2C19 pharmacogenetic testing predict antidepressant response or adverse drug reactions? *Psychiatry Res*. 2019 Jan; 271:604-613. PubMed PMID: 30554109.
- 60 Somogyi AA, Collier JK, Barratt DT. Pharmacogenetics of opioid response. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2015 Feb;97(2):125-7. Epub 2014 Dec 9. PubMed PMID: 25670515.
- 61 Syn NL, Wong AL-A, Lee S-C, et al. Genotype-guided versus traditional clinical dosing of warfarin in patients of Asian ancestry: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Medicine*. 2018; 16:104. PubMed PMID: 29986700.
- 62 Tse G, Gong M, Li G, Wong SH; International Health Informatics Study (IHIS) Network. Genotype-guided warfarin dosing vs. conventional dosing strategies: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2018 Sep;84(9):1868-1882. PubMed PMID: 29704269.
- 63 U.S. Food & Drug Administration. The FDA Warns Against the Use of Many Genetic Tests with Unapproved Claims to Predict Patient Response to Specific Medications: FDA Safety Communication. October 31, 2018. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/safety-communications/fda-warns-against-use-many-genetic-tests-unapproved-claims-predict-patient-response-specific>.
- 64 U.S. Food & Drug Administration. FDA Announces Collaborative Review of Scientific Evidence to Support Associations Between Genetic Information and Specific Medications: FDA Statement. February 20, 2020. Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-announces-collaborative-review-scientific-evidence-support-associations-between-genetic>.
- 65 Verbelen M, Weale ME, Lewis CM. Cost-effectiveness of pharmacogenetic-guided treatment: are we there yet? *Pharmacogenomics J*. 2017 Oct;17(5):395-402. Epub 2017 Jun 13. PubMed PMID: 28607506.
- 66 Voora D, Eby C, Linder MW, Milligan PE, Bukaveckas BL, McLeod HL, Maloney W, Clohisey J, Burnett RS, Grosso L, Gatchel SK, Gage BF. Prospective dosing of warfarin based on cytochrome P-450 2C9 genotype. *Thromb Haemost*. 2005 Apr;93(4):700-5. PubMed PMID: 15841315.
- 67 Wang B, Canestaro WJ, Choudhry NK. Clinical evidence supporting pharmacogenomic biomarker testing provided in US Food and Drug Administration drug labels. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014 Dec;174(12):1938-44. PubMed PMID: 25317785.
- 68 Whirl-Carrillo M, McDonagh EM, Hebert JM, et al. Pharmacogenomics knowledge for personalized medicine. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2012 Oct;92(4):414-7. PubMed PMID: 22992668.
- 69 Witt DM, Nieuwlaart R, Clark NP, Ansell J, Holbrook A, Skov J, Shehab N, Mock J, Myers T, Dentali F, Crowther MA, Agarwal A, Bhatt M, Khatib R, Riva JJ, Zhang Y, Guyatt G. American Society of Hematology 2018 guidelines for management of venous thromboembolism: optimal management of anticoagulation therapy. *Blood Adv*. 2018 Nov 27;2(22):3257-3291. PubMed PMID: 30482765.
- 70 Yu YW, Tsai SJ, Chen TJ, et al. Association study of the serotonin transporter promoter polymorphism and symptomatology and antidepressant response in major depressive disorders. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2002;7(10):1115-9. PubMed PMID: 12476327.
- 71 Zeier Z, Carpenter LL, Kalin NH, Rodriguez CI, McDonald WM, Widge AS, Nemeroff CB. Clinical implementation of pharmacogenetic decision support tools for antidepressant drug prescribing. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2018 ASep 1;175(9): 873-886. PubMed PMID: 29690793.
- 72 Zhao XQ, Cao WJ, Yang HP, et al. DPYD gene polymorphisms are associated with risk and chemotherapy prognosis in pediatric patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Tumour Biol*. 2016 Aug;37(8):10393-402. Epub 2016 Feb 4. PubMed PMID: 26846104.

## Thrombophilias

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.



- 1 Ashraf N, Visweshwar N, Jaglal M, Sokol L, Laber D. Evolving paradigm in thrombophilia screening. *Blood Coagul fibrinolysis*. 2019 May 24. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 31145103.
- 2 Bushnell C, McCullough LD, Awad IA, et al. American Heart Association Stroke Council; Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing; Council on Clinical Cardiology; Council on Epidemiology and Prevention; Council for High Blood Pressure Research Guidelines for the prevention of stroke in women: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2014 May;45(5):1545-88. Epub 2014 Feb 6. PubMed PMID: 24503673.
- 3 Carroll BJ, Piazza G. Hypercoagulable states in arterial and venous thrombosis: When, how and who to test? *Vasc Med*. 2018 Aug;23(4):388-399. PubMed PMID: 30045685.
- 4 Croles FN, Nasserinejad K, Duvekot JJ, et al. Pregnancy, thrombophilia, and the risk of a first venous thrombosis: systematic review and bayesian meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2017 Oct 26; 359: j4452. Epub 2017 Oct 26. PubMed PMID: 29074563.
- 5 Franchini M, Martinelli I, Mannucci PM. Uncertain thrombophilia markers. *Thromb Haemost*. 2016 Jan; 115(1):25-30. PubMed PMID: 26271270.
- 6 Gupta A, Sarode R, Nagalla S. Thrombophilia testing in provoked venous thromboembolism: a teachable moment. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2017 Aug;177(8):1195-6. PubMed PMID: 28586816.
- 7 Heit JA, Sobell JL, Li H, et al. The incidence of venous thromboembolism among Factor V Leiden carriers: a community-based cohort study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2005; 3:305-11. PubMed PMID: 15670037.
- 8 Juul K, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, Schnohr P, Nordestgaard BG. Factor V Leiden and the risk for venous thromboembolism in the adult Danish population. *Ann Intern Med*. 2004 Mar 2;140(5):330-7. PubMed PMID: 14996674.
- 9 Li X, Liu Y, Zhang R, et al. Meta-analysis of the association between plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 4G/5G polymorphism and recurrent pregnancy loss. *Med Sci Monit*. 2015 Apr 11; 21:1051-6. PubMed PMID: 25862335.
- 10 Lijfering WM, Brouwer JL, Veeger NJ, et al. Selective testing for thrombophilia in patients with first venous thrombosis: results from a retrospective family cohort study on absolute thrombotic risk for currently known thrombophilic defects in 2479 relatives. *Blood*. 2009; 113:5314-22. Epub 2009 Jan 12. PubMed PMID: 19139080.
- 11 MacCallum P, Bowles L, Keeling D. Diagnosis and management of heritable thrombophilias. *BMJ*. 2014 Jul 17;349. PubMed PMID: 25035247.
- 12 Montagnana M, Lippi G, Danese E. An overview of thrombophilia and associated laboratory testing. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2017; 1646:113-35. PubMed PMID: 28804823.
- 13 Pruthi RK. Optimal utilization of thrombophilia testing. *Int J Lab Hematol*. 2017 May;39 Suppl 1:104-110. PubMed PMID: 28447412.
- 14 Rodeghiero F, Tostetto A. Activated protein C resistance and factor V Leiden mutation are independent risk factors for venous thromboembolism. *Ann Intern Med* 1999; 130:643-50. PubMed PMID: 10215560.
- 15 Rosendaal FR, Reitsma PH. Genetics of venous thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2009 Jul;7 Suppl 1:301-4. PubMed PMID: 19630821.
- 16 Rühle F, Stoll M. Advances in predicting venous thromboembolism risk in children. *Br J Haematol*. 2018 Mar;180(5):654-665. Epub 2017 Dec 19. PubMed PMID: 29265336.
- 17 Said JM, Tsui R, Borg AJ, et al. The PAI-1 4G/5G polymorphism is not associated with an increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcome in asymptomatic nulliparous women. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2012 May;10(5):881-6. PubMed PMID: 2243640.
- 18 Solomon HV, Cates KW, Li KJ. Does obtaining CYP3D6 and CYP2C19 pharmacogenetic testing predict antidepressant response or adverse drug reactions? *Psychiatry Res*. 2019 Jan; 271:604-613. PubMed PMID: 30554109.
- 19 Stevens SM, Woller SC, Bauer KA, et al. Guidance for the evaluation and treatment of hereditary and acquired thrombophilia. *J Thromb Thrombolysis*. 2016 Jan;41(1):154-64. PubMed PMID: 26780744.
- 20 van Ommen CH, Nowak-Göttl U. Inherited Thrombophilia in Pediatric Venous Thromboembolic Disease: Why and Who to Test. *Front Pediatr*. 2017 Mar 14; 5:50. PubMed PMID: 28352625.
- 21 Wu O, Robertson L, Twaddle S, et al. Screening for thrombophilia in high-risk situations: systematic review and cost-effectiveness analysis. The Thrombosis: Risk and Economic Assessment of Thrombophilia Screening (TREATS) study. *Health Technol Assess*. 2006 Apr;10(11):1-110. PubMed PMID: 16595080.

# Revision History

## Medical Advisory Board Review:

v1.2021 11/13/2020: Approved

v2.2020 05/08/2020: Reviewed

v1.2020 11/04/2019: Reviewed

v2.2019 05/23/2019: No Criteria Changes

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

v1.2019 11/07/2018: Reviewed

v1.2018 03/31/2018: Reviewed

**Clinical Steering Committee Review:**

v1.2021 10/13/2020: Approved

v2.2020 04/06/2020: Approved

v1.2020 10/11/2019: Approved

v2.2019 04/03/2019: Approved

v1.2019 10/03/2018: Approved

v1.2018 02/28/2018: Approved

v1.2017 01/25/2017: Approved

**Revisions:**

Version	Date	Editor	Description
v1.2021	9/11/2020	Carrie Langbo, MS, CGC	Semi-annual review. Background, professional society guidelines and references were updated.
v2.2020	03/13/2020	Ann Schmidt, MS, CGC	Semi-annual review. Criteria was expanded to cover testing for CYP2C9 and VKORC1. CPT codes, background and references were updated.
v1.2020	09/11/2019	Carrie Langbo, MS, CGC	Semi-annual review. Criteria was expanded to allow thrombophilia testing in pregnant women with a history of any type of VTE. Revised terminology for pharmacogenomic and pharmacogenetic testing. Updated professional society guidelines, background and references.

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

v2.2019	04/03/2019	Ann Schmidt, MS, CGC	Semi-annual review. No criteria changes. Updated professional society guidelines and references.
v1.2019	10/03/2018	Kate Charyk, MS, CGC	Semi-annual review. Professional society guidelines and references updated. Renumbered to 2019. Reformatted CPT code list. PMID added.
v1.2018	03/31/2018	Heather Dorsey, MS, CGC	Semi-annual review. Expanded F2/F5 criteria to allow additional management changes for unprovoked VTE and estrogen changes with significant family history. Disclaimer sentence added to scope. Professional society guidelines and references updated. Renumbered to 2018.
v1.2017	11/1/2017	Gwen Fraley, MS, CGC	Quarterly review. No criteria changes. Updated references.
v1.2017	09/15/2017	Megan Czarniecki, MS, CGC	Formatted references to NLM style. Moved methodological considerations to appropriate use criteria and background. Updated associated CPT codes. Approved by Policy Lead.
v1.2017	07/03/2017	Heather Dorsey, MS, CGC	Quarterly review. No criteria changes. Updated references.
v1.2017	04/18/2017	Megan Czarniecki, MS, CGC	Quarterly review. No criteria changes. Updated references.
v1.2017	01/23/2017	Cheryl Thomas, MS, CGC	Quarterly review. No criteria changes. Updated references. Renumbered for 2017.
v1.2016	10/05/2016	Gwen Fraley, MS, CGC	Combined Thrombophilias and Pharmacogenetic testing into same guidelines. Updated references.
v1.2015	10/08/2015	Marie Schuetzle, MS, CGC	Original version

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

**Original Effective Date:** 10/08/2015

**Primary Author:** Marie Schuetzle, MS, CGC

ARCHIVED

PROPRIETARY

Guidelines developed by, and used with permission from, Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. © 2019 Informed Medical Decisions, Inc. All Rights Reserved.